

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,022	12/06/2001	Steven Gianoulakis	AMAT/5970.05/CPES/CORE EN	8411
32588	7590 05/23/2003			
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.		EXAMINER		
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	<u>پ</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/006,022 GIANOULAKIS Examiner Art Unit Ram N Kackar 1763					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ram N Kackar 1763					
Ram N Kackar 1763	addross				
	addross				
	addroce				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
5)⊡ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Exam	iner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-948) 5) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,022 Page 2

` Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/006023. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of these claims are recited in the above-mentioned application.

Hemispherical reinforcement member and elongated stem portion affixed at a distal end to the reinforcement member is recited in claims 16 and 21, broad band actuator assembly with longitudinal bore, piston assembly and fluid inlet in claim 8, vacuum channels for vacuum chucking in claim 6, air knife in claim 1, ribs underneath the substrate support in claim 5 and vacuum cavity inside the reinforcement is recited in claim 7.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1- 2, 4-5, 7-12, 14-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gary S Selwyn (US 5849135) in view of Byeong-Soo Kim (US 5927308) and further in view of Kimura et al (US 5908657).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,022

Art Unit: 1763

Selwyn discloses annular substrate receiving member (Fig 1-12), a broadband actuator (34), an elongated stem portion to support the substrate holder (28) and mechanical means to hold the substrate (Abstract). Selwyn discloses means to separate particles from wafer surface by vibration and to remove them by using plasma.

Selwyn does not disclose vacuum chucking and air knife to blow away the particles.

Kim discloses a broadband actuator assembly (Fig 1-20 and 25), a cylindrical type reinforcement member (20 and 23) with vacuum cavity (21) and vacuum channels (23). Kim also discloses a simpler mechanism to blow away particles using an air knife assembly mounted at the perimeter (16). In systems where plasma may not be available this would provide an easier and alternative way to remove particles.

Kim does not disclose a conical or hemispherical reinforcement member.

Kimura et al discloses a hemispherical reinforcement member enclosing a vacuum cavity, having a first open end having a radius being larger than the other substantially closed end having a smaller radius (Fig 1 202)

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Selwyn by adding vacuum chucking and air knife so as to have reliable chucking for rotating the substrate and have a simpler means of particle removal without plasma.

4. Claims 3 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gary S Selwyn (US 5849135) in view of Byeong-Soo Kim (US 5927308) and further in view of Kimura et al (US 5908657) as applied to claims 2 and 11 and further in view of Jysky et al (US 3945613).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,022

· Art Unit: 1763

Kim and Selwyn disclose broadband actuator but do not disclose a longitudinal bore and a piston assembly with fluid inlet.

Jysky et al disclose a device to generate vibration having longitudinal bore, and using a piston and fluid inlet (Abstract and Fig 2 and 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the actuator of Selwyn with a vibration device of Jysky et al, which is simpler and cheaper.

5. Claims 6 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gary S Selwyn (US 5849135) in view of Byeong-Soo Kim (US 5927308) and further in view of Kimura et al (US 5908657) as applied to claims 4 and 12 and further in view of Dyer et al (US 5800623).

Kim or Gary S Selwyn do not disclose reinforcement ribs under the substrate support.

Dyer et al disclose a substrate support surface with ribs underneath ().

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the substrate support of Gary S Selwyn to have ribs to strengthen the support so as to avoid any damage due to deflection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 5127362.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,022

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK May 21, 2003

> GRÉGORY MILLS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5